

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	Ekberg et al.	Confirmation No.:	9670
Serial No.:	10/662,407	Group Art Unit:	2617
Filed:	September 16, 2003	Examiner:	Ho, Huy C.
For:	DEVICE DETECTION AND SERVICE DISCOVERY SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR A MOBILE AD HOC COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK		

WRITTEN STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW
PURSUANT TO MPEP § 713.04

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following written statement pursuant to MPEP §713.04 is submitted concerning the substance of the telephonic interview between Examiner Huy C. Ho and the undersigned on July 31, 2008.

Applicants' attorney thanks the Examiner for the courtesy of an interview conducted on July 31, 2008 for the subject application. Claim 19 was discussed with respect to Kammer and Beck, the cited art, both of record. Applicants' attorney demonstrated that neither reference, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest the claimed subject matter, as follows:

(1) an inquiry result command including an indication of a middleware layer by modifying a class of device field of an inquiry result command to indicate the presence of a middleware layer - Kammer describes standard service discovery protocol (SDP) which does teach or suggest modifying the class of device field of an inquiry result command to indicate the presence of a middleware layer in an inquired device;

(2) the inquiring device, in response to the indication, confirming the presence of the middleware layer in the inquired device before establishing a data connection with the inquired device, and - SDP does not describe confirmation by the inquiring device, and

(3) the inquiring device requesting corresponding confirming information from the inquired device via recognition request and recognition response messages before establishing a data connection – SDP does not describe requesting confirmation information.

In further support of the above items 1, 2, and 3, applicants' attorney reviewed applicants' specification described in publication USPAP 20040087274 at Paragraph 0057 describing items 1, 2 and 3. Also reviewed was the Bluetooth specification 1.1 at Paragraph 4.4.1.4 describing an inquiry response message including a class of device field. A drawing was provided based on Paragraph 4.4.1.4 showing a modification of the class of device field, to provide an indication of a middleware layer as described in Paragraph 0057.

The Examiner indicated the information would be further reviewed in preparing a response to an Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 filed July 23, 2008.

The Interview was concluded by the Examiner issuing an Interview Summary.

AUTHORIZATION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required for consideration of this paper to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4208-4114US1.

Dated: August 5, 2008

By:

Respectfully submitted,
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Joseph C. Redmond, Jr.
Joseph C. Redmond, Jr.
Registration No. 18,753
(202) 857-7887 Telephone
(202) 857-7929 Facsimile

Correspondence Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 World Financial Center
New York, NY 10281-2101